

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,036	12/19/2001	Guocun Chen	270-3051-U C-I-P	9189
7	590 07/22/2003			
Myron B. Kapustij			EXAMINER	
Masco Corpora 21001 Van Bor	rn Road		PIZIALI, AN	NDREW T
Taylor, MI 48180			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	- 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amilianti m Nia	Auglicential				
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
065	10/026,036	CHEN, GUOCUN				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
<b>P</b> '	Andrew T Piziali	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet w	ith th correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>9 December 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ <sup>-1</sup>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allocolosed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Manada de Cara					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	i/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	een received.				
Attachment(s)	p 1 <b>y</b> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

**9**;...

Application/Control Number: 10/026,036

Art Unit: 1775

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1 and 12-13, it is not clear what "relatively low pressures" represent.

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,036

Art Unit: 1775

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of USPN 6,558,816 to Chen.

Claim 1 of Chen encompasses current claims 1 and 12-13.

Regarding the deposition of the color layer at a pressure below about 8, 5 or 3 millitorr, absent a showing to the contrary, it is the examiner's position that the article of the applied prior art is identical to or only slightly different than the claimed article in view of the substantially identical method used to produce the article of the prior art. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show obvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The applied prior art either anticipated or strongly suggested the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with the applied prior art.

Claims 2-6 of Chen encompass current claims 2-6, respectively.

Claim 1 of Chen encompasses current claims 7-8.

Claim 3 of Chen encompasses current claim 9.

Claim 6 of Chen encompasses current claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,036

Art Unit: 1775

Page 4

Claim 6 of Chen encompasses current claim 11.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 306-0145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5665.

atp

July 15, 2003

Andrew T Piziali Examiner

Art Unit 1775

DEBORAH JUNES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER